UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,380	03/30/2004	Paul James Buriak	2004B020	4679
	7590 04/30/200 nemical Company	EXAMINER		
Law Technology P.O. Box 2149 Baytown, TX 77522-2149			AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/813,380	BURIAK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	WALTER B. AGGILLABAGGII	'', 5-	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 (apperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wl with 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	١.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriation and the fee. The appropriation and the final Office the final Office the feet and the final Office the feet and the fe	te extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was a property of the company. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or		atad alabasa	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,	l' (A) (C	TOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			P (1
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-55 and 64.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-31 and 56-63.</u>			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance	e because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
-			
	/Walter B Aughenbaugh Patent Examiner, Art Un		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search: the combination of the limitations of claims 37 and 51 that was not required prior to the after-final amendment of independent claim 32 is a new issue that requires further consideration and/or search. The after-final inclusion of the limitation of claim 51 in independent claim 32 presents a new issue also because this amendment broadens the scope of the carbon dioxide permeability values recited in claim 32 over that recited in claims 35 and 36 as finally rejected (the range of claim 51 was not claimed in relation to independent claim 32 prior to the after-final amendment). The combination of the limitations of claims 49 and 51 that was not required prior to the after-final amendment of independent claim 45 is a new issue that requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains the rejections of the claims of record for the reasons of record, including those stated in the "Reponse to Arguments" section of the previous Office Action. As stated in the rejection of record:

"In additional layer in the "core of a multilayered structure" (col. 7, lines 53-54), that is located between the inner and outer walls of the inner layer of the second embodiment (which falls within the scope of the teachings at col. 5, lines 49-51 and col. 7, lines 52-55), corresponds to the claimed barrier layer because any additional layer would serve as a barrier layer because the additional bulk of the layer would further retard the permeation of gas through the multilayer structure as compared with the multilayer structure without the additional layer. The barrier layer taught at col. 5, lines 49-51 and col. 7, lines 54-55, that is located between the inner and outer walls of the inner layer of the second embodiment (which falls within the scope of the teachings at col. 5, lines 49-51 [particularly, "... may be assembled into the hose..."] and col. 7, lines 52-55), also corresponds to the claimed barrier layer."

Therefore, the claimed barrier layer falls within the scope of the teachings of Kitami et al. for the reasons of record.

-WA